

Optimising identification and quantitation by combining data using the synapter package

LAURENT GATTO* AND PAVEL V. SHLIAHA †

Cambridge Center for Proteomics
University of Cambridge

April 10, 2013

This vignette describes the functionality implemented in the **synapter** package. It allows to re-analyse label-free quantitative proteomics data obtained on a Synapt G2 instrument to optimise quantitation and identification. Several combination strategies are possible and described. Typically, a user can combine identification-optimised data (HDMS^E data using ion mobility separation) and quantitation-optimised data (MS^E data). Additionally, a method to combine several data files into a *master* set while controlling the false discovery rate, is presented.

Keywords: Mass Spectrometry (MS), proteomics, bioinformatics, IMS, ion mobility separation ion mobility, Synapt, label free, data independent acquisition.

*lg390@cam.ac.uk

†pvs22@cam.ac.uk

Contents

1	Introduction	3
1.1	Background	3
1.2	Installation	4
1.3	Getting help	5
2	Data analysis using synapter	5
2.1	Preparing the input data	5
2.2	HDMS ^E /MS ^E data analysis	7
2.3	Different pipelines	8
2.3.1	Graphical user interface	8
2.3.2	Wrapper function	10
2.3.3	Detailed step-by-step analysis	11
2.4	Using <i>master</i> peptide files	12
2.4.1	Choosing which HDMS ^E files to combine	12
2.4.2	Generating a <i>master</i> file	13
2.5	Summary	15
3	Analysing complete experiments	15
3.1	Applying the <i>Top 3</i> approach	15
3.2	Batch processing	19
3.3	Combining data and filtering	20
3.4	Statistical analysis of differentially expressed proteins	21
4	Session information	26

1 Introduction

1.1 Background

The main functionality of **synapter** is to combine proteomics data acquired under different mass spectrometry settings or with different samples to (i) optimise the respective qualities of the two data sets or (ii) increase the number of identifications, thereby decreasing missing values. Besides **synapter** offers other functionality inaccessible in the default pipeline, like peptide FDR estimation and filtering on peptide match type and peptide uniqueness.

The example that motivated the development of this package was to combine data obtained on a Synapt G2 instrument:

1. HDMS^E data, acquired with additional peptide separation using an ion mobility cell, thus leading to better (both in number and in quality) identification and
2. standard MS^E data (acquired without ion mobility separation), providing better data quantitation.

The former is data is called *identification peptides* and the latter *quantitation peptides*, irrespective of the acquisition mode (HDMS^E or MS^E). This HDMS^E/MS^E design is used in this document to illustrate the **synapter** package.

However, although HDMS^E mode possesses superior identification and MS^E mode superior quantitation capabilities and transferring identifications from HDMS^E to MS^E is a priori the most efficient setup, identifications can be transferred between any runs, independently of the acquisition mode. This allows to reduce the number of missing values, one of the primary limitation of label-free proteomics. Thus users will benefit from **synapter**'s functionality even if they run their instruments in a single mode (HDMS^E or MS^E only).

However, as will be shown in section 2, transferring identifications from multiple runs to each other increases analysis time and peptide FDR within the analysis. **synapter** allows to minimise these effects to acceptable degree by choosing runs to transfer identifications from and merging them in the master HDMSE file.

This data processing methodology is described in section 2.2 and the analysis pipeline is described in section 2.3.

To maximise the benefit of combining better identification and quantitation data, it is also possible to combine several, previously merged identification data files into one *master* set. This functionality is described in section 2.4.

Finally, section 3 illustrates a complete pipeline including **synapter** and MSnbase (Gatto and Lilley, 2012) packages to perform protein label-free quantitation: how

to combine multiple **synapter** results to represent the complete experimental design under study and further explore the data, normalise it and perform robust statistical data analysis inside the R environment.

The rationale underlying **synapter**'s functionality are described in [Shliaha et al. \(2013\)](#) and [Bond et al. \(2013\)](#). The first reference describes the benefits of ion mobility separation on identification and the effects on quantitation, that led to the development of **synapter**, which is described and demonstrated in [Bond et al. \(2013\)](#).

synapter is written for R ([R Core Team, 2012](#)), an open source, cross platform, freely available statistical computing environment and programming language¹. Functionality available in the R environment can be extended through the usage of packages. Thousands of developers have contributed packages that are distributed via the Comprehensive R Archive Network (CRAN) or through specific initiatives like the Bioconductor² project ([Gentleman et al., 2004](#)), focusing on the analysis and comprehension of high-throughput biological data.

synapter is such an R package dedicated to the analysis of label-free proteomics data. To obtain detailed information about any function in the package, it is possible to access its documentation by preceding its name with a question mark at the command line prompt. For example, to obtain information about the **synapter** package, one would type `?synapter`.

1.2 Installation

synapter is available through the Bioconductor project. Details about the package and the installation procedure can be found on its page³. Briefly, installation of the package and all its dependencies should be done using the dedicated Bioconductor infrastructure as shown below:

```
> source("http://bioconductor.org/biocLite.R")
> ## or, if you have already used the above before
> library("BiocInstaller")
> ## and to install the package
> biocLite("synapter")
```

After installation, **synapter** will have to be explicitly loaded with

¹<http://www.r-project.org/>

²<http://www.bioconductor.org/>

³<http://bioconductor.org/packages/devel/bioc/html/synapter.html>

```
> library(synapter)
```

so that all the package's functionality is available to the user.

1.3 Getting help

There is a general mailing list⁴ for Bioconductor packages. There is also a on-line form⁵ if you do not wish to subscribe to the list. You are also welcome to contact Laurent Gatto (lg390@cam.ac.uk) for general questions, bugs, comments and suggestions.

`synapter` is an open source initiative and contributions, whether new code, documentation bug fixes and new use cases are much appreciated. The official source code is available on the Bioconductor svn server⁶. A testing version and easily forkable source tree is available on github⁷, which also allows to report issues (bugs, feature requests, ...).

2 Data analysis using synapter

2.1 Preparing the input data

Preparation of the data for `synapter` requires the `.raw` data first to be processed with Waters' ProteinLynx Global Serve (PLGS) software. The PLGS result is then exported as `csv` spreadsheet files in user specified folders. These `csv` files can then be used as input for `synapter`.

We also highly recommend users to acquaint themselves with the PLGS search algorithm for data independent acquisitions (Li et al., 2009).

First the user has to specify the output folders for files to be used in `synapter` analysis as demonstrated in figure 1. After the folders are specified ignore the message that appears requiring restarting PLGS.

At the first stage PLGS performs noise reduction and centroiding, based on user specified preferences called *processing parameters*. These preferences determine thresholds in intensity for discriminating between noise peaks and peptide and fragment ion peaks in high and low energy functions of an acquisition. The optimal value of these parameters is sample dependant and different between MS^E and $HDMS^E$ modes. For `synapter` to function properly all acquisitions in the analysis have to be processed with the same thresholds, optimal for the mode identifications

⁴<https://stat.ethz.ch/mailman/listinfo/bioconductor>

⁵<http://bioconductor.org/help/mailling-list/mailform/>

⁶<http://bioconductor.org/developers/source-control/>

⁷<https://github.com/lgatto/synapter/>




Figure 1: Specifying PLGS output folders. The last message can be ignored.

are transferred from (typically HDMS^E mode). The user is expected to identify optimal parameters himself for every new sample type by repeatedly analysing a representative acquisition with different thresholds.

After the ions peaks have been determined and centroided, the ions representing charge states and isotopes of a peptide are collapsed into a single entity called EMRT (exact mass retention time pair). The EMRTs in low energy function represent unidentified peptides and are assigned peptides sequences during database search. The total list of EMRTs can be found in the `pep3DAMRT.csv` file and it is one of the **synapter** input files for the runs used for quantitation (typically MS^E mode)

Prior to the database search, randomised entries are added to the database to allow PLGS to compute *protein false positive rate*. The randomised entries can either be added automatically or manually, using the *Randomise Databank* function in the *Databank admin tool*. To properly prepare the files for **synapter**, the user has to add randomised entries manually via *Databank admin tool*, since only then randomised entries identified in the database search will be displayed in the *csv* output. Figure 2 demonstrates how to create a randomised databank manually using one randomised entry per regular entry.

The user is also expected to use a minimum of 1 fragment per peptide, 3 fragments per protein and 1 peptide per protein identification thresholds and 100% *False Positive Rate*⁸ for protein identification during database search for all of the acquisitions in the analysis as demonstrated in figure 3. This allows to maximise the number of identified peptides from the randomised part of the database, needed to esti-

⁸This is erroneously termed false positive rate in the software and manuscript and should be considered a false discovery rate.




Figure 2: Databank creation in PLGS.

Workflow Designer	
Databank Search Query	
Attribute	Value
Search Engine Type	PLGS
Databank	vignette_RANDOM_1X-1.0
Taxonomy	
Peptide Tolerance	Automatic
Fragment Tolerance	Automatic
Min Fragment Ion Matches per P...	1
Min Fragment Ion Matches per P...	3
Min Peptide Matches Per Protein	1
Maximum Hits to Return	20
Maximum Protein Mass	250000
Primary Digest Reagent	Trypsin
Secondary Digest Reagent	None
Missed Cleavages	1
Fixed Modifications	Carbamidomethyl C
Variable Modifications	Oxidation M
Enriched Variable Modification	
Variable Glycosylation Modifications	
False Positive Rate	100
Calibration Protein	
Calibration Protein Concentration	
Manual Response Factor	
Monoisotopic or Average	Monoisotopic
Peptide Charge	1+
Instrument Type	ESI-QUAD-TOF

Figure 3: Databank search options.

mate peptide identifications statistics. The total list of identified peptides is given in `final_peptide.csv` files. A single `final_peptide.csv` file has to be supplied to `synapter` for every run in the analysis (for both identification and quantitation runs).

More details and screenshots are available in a separate document available at <http://lgatto.github.com/synapter/>.

2.2 HDMS^E/MS^E data analysis

The analysis of pairs of HDMS^E and MS^E data files is based on the following rationale – combine strengths of each approach by matching high quality HDMS^E identifications to quantified MS^E EMRTs applying the following algorithm:

1. Apply various peptide filters to HDMS^E and MS^E peptides to obtain two sets of reliably identified unique proteotypic peptides.
2. Use shared HDMS^E and MS^E peptides to model the deviations in retention time between the two mass spectrometer runs.

3. Optimise the parameters that will be used to optimally match all HDMS^E peptides and quantified MS^E EMRTs using a grid search.
4. Using the best parameters, match identified HDMS^E peptides to quantified MS^E EMRTs.

2.3 Different pipelines

Three different pipeline are available to the user:

2.3.1 Graphical user interface

A simple graphical interface (GUI) can be used to preform a complete data analysis. This pipeline is the most accessible for users that do not feel comfortable with command line interfaces (see below) and/or for a limited number of analysis to be run manually.

The GUI is a graphical layer between the user and the `synergise` function that will be described later. For more details on the underlying data processing and parameters that can be customised, read `?synergise`.




Figure 4: Screenshots of the 3 input tabs of the `synapterGUI` function. From left to right: (1) the data input tab, (2) the data filtering and retention time modelling tab and (3) the grid search tab.

The graphical interface is shown on figure 4 and is started by calling the `synapterGUI()` function. The interface is composed of three tabs, that allow data input and analyses parameters customisation. The `synapterGUI` function itself takes one input parameter, `n`, that defines the number of identification/quantitation sets of files that the user wants to analyse. Each set is composed of one identification final peptide file (typically HDMS^E), one quantitation final peptide file (typically MS^E), one quantitation Pep3D file (also MS^E) and one output directory. In addition, the user also

needs to specify one single fasta file that will be used to filter proteotypic peptide. To perform 3 analysis, as illustrated on figure 4, the function would be executed like `synapterGUI(n = 3)` or simply `synapterGUI(3)`.

Data input The first tab uses a tree structure to represent the input sets to be analysed. The unique fasta file is located at the very top of the hierarchy and each subsequent node (file set) can be opened and populated. Files are added by selecting the respective node, clicking the **Add** button and selecting the corresponding file using the file selection dialogue that opens. The file names then appear as new nodes (see for example the identification file in set 1) and can be removed with the **Remove** button.

The **Master** box needs to be checked if the identification inputs are *master* files (see section 2.4).

Filtering and modelling The second tab allows to specify peptide filtering and retention time modelling parameters. Modelling accounts for systematic deviation in retention time for peptides between mass spectrometry runs (figure 5) by fitting a curve through deviation of retention time vs retention time plot.

```
> plotRt(ups25b, what = "model", nsd = 1)
```




Figure 5: Figure illustrating retention time modelling between two runs in synapter, as generated by the `plotRt` function.

For a detailed description of the parameters and the processing pipeline, see the documentation of the **synergise** function.

Grid search Matching peptides to quantified EMRTs is done in the two dimensional retention time vs. precursor mass space. The optimal tolerances in both dimensions are estimated by a grid search that uses common identification/quantitation peptides. The size of the grid, i.e. the range of the retention time (**nsd**, number of standard deviations in the retention time model) and mass tolerance (**ppm**) to be searched can be defined here. In addition, it is possible to select a subset of the data to reduce search time. For a detailed description of the parameters, see the documentation of the **synergise** function.

Once all the input has been specified, pressing the **Run** button in the lower left corner of the GUI starts the **synapter** run: all **n** analyses are executed one after each other and a complete report in **html** as well as several result files are created in the respective output folders.

2.3.2 Wrapper function

The **synergise** function is a high level wrapper that implements a suggested analysis to combine two files (see next paragraph for details). A set of parameters can be passed, although sensible defaults are provided. While the analysis is executed, a **html** report is created, including all result files in text spreadsheet (**csv** format) and binary **R** output. This level allows easy scripting for automated batch analysis. Using data from the **synapterdata** package, the following code chunk illustrates the **synergise** usage. An example report can be found online at <http://lgatto.github.com/synapter/>.

```
> library(synapterdata)
> hdmsefile <- getHDMSeFinalPeptide()[2]
> basename(hdmsefile)

[1] "HDMSe_101111_25fmol_UPS1_in_Ecoli_04_IA_final_peptide.csv.gz"

> msefile <- getMSeFinalPeptide()[2]
> basename(msefile)

[1] "MSe_101111_25fmol_UPS1_in_Ecoli_03_IA_final_peptide.csv.gz"

> msepep3dfile <- getMSePep3D()[2]
> basename(msepep3dfile)
```

```
[1] "MSe_101111_25fmol_UPS1_in_Ecoli_03_Pep3DAMRT.csv.gz"

> fas <- getFasta()
> basename(fas)

[1] "EcoliK12_enolase_UPSsimga_NB.fasta"

> ## the synergise input is a (named) list of filenames
> input <- list(identpeptide = hdmsefile,
+               quantpeptide = msefile,
+               quantpep3d = msepep3dfile,
+               fasta = fas)
> ## a report and result files will be stored
> ## in the 'output' directory
> output <- tempdir()
> output

[1] "/tmp/RtmplgPvMC"
```

```
> res <- synergise(filenamees = input, outputdir = output)
```

```
> performance(res)

(S) Synapter: 4745 EMRTs uniquely matched.
(I) Ident: 5642 peptides.
(Q) Quant: 2685 peptides.
Enrichment (S/Q): 76.72%
Overlap:
  Q   S   QS
240 2282 2445
```

See `?synergise` for details.

2.3.3 Detailed step-by-step analysis

The user can have detailed control on each step of the analysis by executing each low-level function manually. This pipeline, including generation of data containers (class instances) and all available operations are available in `?Synapter`. This strategy allows the maximum flexibility to develop new unexplored approaches.

2.4 Using master peptide files

While analysing one MS^E file against one single $HDMS^E$ file increased the total number of reliably identified and quantified features compared to each single MS^E analysis, a better procedure can be applied when replicates are available. Consider the following design with two pairs of files: $HDMS_1^E$, MS_1^E , $HDMS_2^E$ and MS_2^E . The classical approach would lead to combining for example, $HDMS_1^E$ and MS_1^E and $HDMS_2^E$ and MS_2^E . However, $HDMS_1^E - MS_2^E$ and $HDMS_2^E - MS_1^E$ would also be suitable, possibly leading to new identified and quantified features. Instead of repeating all possible combinations, which could hardly be applied for more replicates, we allow to merge $HDMS_1^E$ and $HDMS_2^E$ into a new *master* $HDMS_{12}^E$ and then using it to transfer identification to both MS^E runs. In addition to leading to a simpler set of analyses, this approach also allows to control the false positive rate during the $HDMS^E$ merging (see section 2.4.1). Such *master* $HDMS^E$ files can be readily created with the `makeMaster` function, as described in section 2.4.2.

We will use data from the `synapterdata` to illustrate how to create *master* files.

2.4.1 Choosing which $HDMS^E$ files to combine

In a more complex design, a greater number of $HDMS^E$ files might need to be combined. When combining files, one also accumulates false peptides assignments. The extent to which combining files increases new reliable identification at the cost of accumulating false assignments can be estimated with the `estimateMasterFdr` function.

To illustrate how FDR is estimated for *master* $HDMS^E$ files, let's consider two extreme cases.

- In the first one, the two files (each with 1000 peptides filtered at an FDR of 0.01) to be combined are nearly identical, sharing 900 peptides. The combined data will have $900(\text{shared}) + 2 \times 100(\text{unique})$ peptides and each file, taken separately is estimated to have $1000 \times 0.01 = 10$ false positive identifications. We thus estimate the upper FDR bound after merging the two files to be $\frac{20}{1100} = 0.0182$.
- In the second hypothetical case, the two files (again each with 1000 peptides filtered at a FDR of 0.01) to be combined are very different and share only 100 peptides. The combined data will have $100(\text{shared}) + 2 \times 900(\text{unique})$ peptides and, as above, each file is estimated to have 10 false discoveries. In this case, we obtain an upper FDR bound of $\frac{20}{1900} = 0.0105$.

In general, the final false discovery for two files will be

$$FDR_{master} = \frac{nf d_1 + nf d_2}{union(peptides\ HDMS_1^E, peptides\ HDMS_2^E)}$$

where $nf d_i$ is the number of false discoveries in $HDMS^E$ file i . Note that we do not make any assumptions about repeated identification in multiple files here.

`estimateMasterFdr` generalised this for any number of $HDMS^E$ files and indicates the best combination at a fixed user-specified `masterFdr` level. Mandatory input is a list of $HDMS^E$ file names and a fasta database file name to filter non-unique proteotypic peptides.

The result of `estimateMasterFdr` stores the number of unique proteotypic peptides and FDR for all possible 57 combinations of 6 files. A summary can be printed on the console or plotted with `plot(cmb)` (see figure 6).

```
> ## using the full set of 6 HDMSe files and a
> ## fasta database from the synapterdata package
> inputfiles <- getHDMSeFinalPeptide()
> fasta <- getFasta()
> cmb <- estimateMasterFdr(inputfiles, fasta, masterFdr = 0.02,
+                           verbose = FALSE)
> cmb

6 files - 57 combinations
Best combination: 4 5
- 5740 proteotypic peptides
- 6642 unique peptides
- 0.017 FDR
```

The best combination can be extracted with the `bestComb` function.

```
> bestComb(cmb)

[1] 4 5
```

See `?estimateMasterFdr` and references therein for more details about the function and the returned object.

2.4.2 Generating a master file

Now that we have identified which files should be used to create the master file, we can directly pass the relevant identification files to the `makeMaster` function

```
> plot(cmb)
```




Figure 6: Figure illustrating the relation between the number of unique peptides in the combined HDMS^E file and the resulting false discovery rate. The symbols on the figure represent the number of files for that particular combination. The dotted line is the user defined threshold for the combined FDR (`masterFdr` parameter). The best combination, i.e the one that maximises the number of unique peptides while keeping the FDR below `masterFdr` is highlighted in red.

to generate the *master* file. The function has one mandatory input parameter, `pepfiles`, a list of identification file names to be merged. The output is an object of class `MasterPeptides` that stores the relevant peptides from the original input files. The result can be saved to disk using `saveRDS` for further analysis, as described in section 2.2.

```
> master <- makeMaster(inputfiles[bestComb(cmb)], verbose = FALSE)
> master
```

Object of class "MasterPeptides"

1st Master [1 2] has 6699 peptides

2nd Master [2 1] has 6709 peptides

[1] HDMS_e_111111_50fmol_UPS1_in_Ecoli_04_IA_final_peptide.csv.gz

[2] HDMS_e_111111_50fmol_UPS1_in_Ecoli_02_IA_final_peptide.csv.gz

More details can be found in the function documentation accessible with `?makeMaster`.

2.5 Summary

Two functions are needed to choose a set of IR files and create the *master* IR. One function enables to perform a complete identification transfer, either through a command line or graphical interface. Table 1 summarises all there is to know to utilise **synapter**'s functionality.

Function	Description
<code>synapterGUI()</code>	Opens the graphical user interface
<code>synergise</code>	Runs the complete identification transfer
<code>estimateMasterFdr</code>	Chooses which files to be used to create the <i>master</i> IR
<code>makeMaster</code>	Creates the <i>master</i> IR

Table 1: The **synapter** functions.

3 Analysing complete experiments

The functionality described in this section relies on the **MSnbase** package ([Gatto and Lilley, 2012](#)), which is installed by default with **synapter**. Please refer to the **MSnbase** Bioconductor web page⁹, the associated vignettes and the respective manual pages for more details.

The **synapterdata** already provides preprocessed PLGS data. Six **Synapter** instances are available: 3 replicates (labelled **a**, **b** and **c**) of the Universal Proteomics Standard (UPS1) 48 protein mix at 25 fmol and 3 replicates at 50 fmol, in a constant *E. coli* background. The 6 files can be loaded in your working space with

```
> data(ups25a, ups25b, ups25c, ups50a, ups50b, ups50c)
```

3.1 Applying the Top 3 approach

We will start by describing the analysis of **ups25a** in details, and then show how to analyse all the runs using more compact code. The first step of our analysis is to convert the **synapter** object output (a **Synapter** instance), into a **MSnbase**-compatible object, called an **MSnSet**, that we will name **ms25a**. We can obtain a description of the **MSnSet** object by typing its name.

```
> ms25a <- as(ups25a, "MSnSet")
> class(ups25a)[1]

[1] "Synapter"
```

⁹<http://bioconductor.org/packages/release/bioc/html/MSnbase.html>

```

> class(ms25a)[1]

[1] "MSnSet"

> ms25a

MSnSet (storageMode: lockedEnvironment)
assayData: 5642 features, 1 samples
  element names: exprs
protocolData: none
phenoData: none
featureData
  featureNames: AALESTLAAITESLK IAAANVPAFVSGK ...
               NDSALGLFNGDIGIALDR (5642 total)
  fvarLabels: peptide.seq protein.Accession ... qual (20
             total)
  fvarMetadata: labelDescription
experimentData: use 'experimentData(object)'
Annotation: No annotation
- - - Processing information - - -
MSnbase version: 1.8.0

```

It contains quantitation information about 5642 peptides for 1 sample. In the code chunk below, we update the default sample name **Synapter1** with a more meaningful one.

```

> sampleNames(ms25a)

[1] "Synapter1"

> sampleNames(ms25a) <- "ups25a"
> sampleNames(ms25a)

[1] "ups25a"

```

Quantitative data and meta-data, which has been acquired by **synapter**, can be extracted with the **exprs** and **fData** methods.

```

> tail(exprs(ms25a))

               ups25a
AFLNDK             NA

```



```

IEAQLNDVIADLDAVR      1671
AVFNGLINVAQHAIK      1499
LEEVK                  664
VALQGNMDPSMLYAPPAR    2969
NDSALGLFNGDIGIALDR     NA

> tail(fData(ms25a)[, c(2, 9)])

              protein.Accession precursor.retT
AFLNDK             MNME_ECODH           33.51
IEAQLNDVIADLDAVR    MNME_ECODH           84.16
AVFNGLINVAQHAIK     B1XFY9_ECODH        76.22
LEEVK              B1X7F0_ECODH         41.41
VALQGNMDPSMLYAPPAR   DCUP_ECODH         67.60
NDSALGLFNGDIGIALDR   B1XDM5_ECODH        97.66

> ## all fetaure metadata
> fvarLabels(ms25a)

[1] "peptide.seq"           "protein.Accession"
[3] "protein.Description"   "protein.falsePositiveRate"
[5] "peptide.matchType"     "peptide.mhp"
[7] "peptide.score"         "precursor.mhp"
[9] "precursor.retT"        "precursor.inten"
[11] "precursor.Mobility"    "spectrumID"
[13] "Intensity"             "ion_ID"
[15] "ion_area"              "ion_counts"
[17] "pval"                  "Bonferroni"
[19] "BH"                    "qval"

```

We will describe a how to process the data using a *Top 3* approach, where the 3 most intense peptides of each protein are used to compute the protein intensity, using the `topN` and `combineFeatures` methods. The former allows to extract the top most intense peptides (default `n` is 3) and remove all other peptides from the `MSnSet` object. The latter than aggregates the `n` most intense peptides per protein using a user-defined function (`sum`, below). Finally, we also scale protein intensity values depending on the actual number of peptides that have summed. This number of quantified peptides can be calculated (after `topN`, but before `combineFeatures`) with `nQuants`.

```

> ms25a <- topN(ms25a, groupBy = fData(ms25a)$protein.Accession, n = 3)
> nPeps <- nQuants(ms25a, fcol = "protein.Accession")
> ms25a <- combineFeatures(ms25a, fData(ms25a)$protein.Accession, "sum",
+   na.rm = TRUE, verbose = FALSE)
> head(exprs(ms25a))

      ups25a
6PGL_ECODH 71555
ABDH_ECODH 47542
ACCA_ECODH 38249
ACCD_ECODH 25615
ACP_ECODH  16388
APT_ECODH   0

> head(nPeps)

      ups25a
6PGL_ECODH 3
ABDH_ECODH 3
ACCA_ECODH 3
ACCD_ECODH 3
ACP_ECODH  1
APT_ECODH  0

> ## scale intensities
> exprs(ms25a) <- exprs(ms25a) * (3/nPeps)
> ## NaN result from the division by 0, when no peptide was found for
> ## that protein
> head(exprs(ms25a))

      ups25a
6PGL_ECODH 71555
ABDH_ECODH 47542
ACCA_ECODH 38249
ACCD_ECODH 25615
ACP_ECODH  49164
APT_ECODH   NaN

```

3.2 Batch processing

The code chunk below repeats the above processing for the other 5 UPS1/*E. coli* runs.

```
> nms <- c(paste0("ups", 25, c("b", "c")),
+         paste0("ups", 50, c("a", "b", "c")))
> tmp <- sapply(nms, function(.ups) {
+   cat("Processing", .ups, "... ")
+   ## get the object from workspace and convert to MSnSet
+   x <- get(.ups, envir = .GlobalEnv)
+   x <- as(x, "MSnSet")
+   sampleNames(x) <- .ups
+   ## extract top 3 peptides
+   x <- topN(x, groupBy = fData(x)$protein.Accession, n = 3)
+   ## calculate the number of peptides that are available
+   nPeps <- nQuants(x, fcol = "protein.Accession")
+   ## sum top3 peptides into protein quantitation
+   x <- combineFeatures(x, fData(x)$protein.Accession,
+                       "sum", na.rm = TRUE, verbose = FALSE)
+   ## adjust protein intensity based on actual number of top peptides
+   exprs(x) <- exprs(x) * (3/nPeps)
+   ## adjust feature variable names for combine
+   x <- updateFvarLabels(x, .ups)
+   ## save the new MSnExp instance in the workspace
+   varnm <- sub("ups", "ms", .ups)
+   assign(varnm, x, envir = .GlobalEnv)
+   cat("done\n")
+ })

Processing ups25b ... done
Processing ups25c ... done
Processing ups50a ... done
Processing ups50b ... done
Processing ups50c ... done
```

We now have 6 `MSnSet` instances, containing protein quantitation for the 6 UPS/*E. coli* runs.

3.3 Combining data and filtering

We now want to filter data out based on missing quantitation data, retaining proteins that have been quantified in at least two out of three replicates. Filtering based on missing data can be done using the `filterNA` method and a maximum missing data content as defined by `pNA`. Multiple `MSnSet` instances can be combined with the `combine` method, which is described in details in the `MSnbase-demo` vignette¹⁰. The 6 objects have appropriate distinct sample names and common feature (protein) names, which will be used to properly combine the quantitation data.

```
> ms25 <- combine(ms25a, ms25b)
> ms25 <- combine(ms25, ms25c)
> dim(ms25)

[1] 729  3

> ms25 <- filterNA(ms25, pNA = 1/3)
> dim(ms25)

[1] 709  3
```

Once combined and filtered, the 25 fmol group retains 709 entries with at least 2 out of 3 quantitation values, out of the 729 total number of proteins.

```
> ms50 <- combine(ms50a, ms50b)
> ms50 <- combine(ms50, ms50c)
> dim(ms50)

[1] 729  3

> ms50 <- filterNA(ms50, pNA = 1/3)
> dim(ms50)

[1] 709  3
```

Similarly, the 50 fmol group retains 709 entries with at least 2 out of 3 quantitation values, out of the 729 initial proteins.

We now combine the two subgroups into one `MSnSet` object that contains all 6 samples and filter for proteins that are observed in both groups, i.e retaining proteins with a maximum of 2/6 missing values. We also compute a summary table with the number of protein that have 4, 5, or 6 quantitation values across the 6 samples.

¹⁰The vignette is accessible from within R with `vignette("MSnbase-demo", package = "MSnbase")`.

```

> msUps <- combine(ms25, ms50)
> msUps <- filterNA(msUps, pNA = 2/6)
> head(exprs(msUps))

      ups25a ups25b ups25c ups50a ups50b ups50c
6PGL_ECODH  71555  62114  60655  59920  56185  53874
ABDH_ECODH  47542  37805  36746  45570  43163  39506
ACCA_ECODH  38249  31543  29570  30697  29656  27851
ACCD_ECODH  25615  22247  20295  22206  19698  19819
ACP_ECODH   49164 738365 706538 734425 712076 655842
AROB_ECODH   5442  4050   3684   4095   4500   3879

> table(apply(exprs(msUps), 1, function(.x) sum(!is.na(.x)))))

 4    5    6
 6   25 674

```

We obtain a final data set containing 705 proteins. Finally, we normalise protein intensities in each sample to correct for experimental loading biases and pipetting errors. To do so, we compute 6 sample medians using all constant *E. coli* background proteins and divide each protein by its respective sample mean.

```

> ecoli <- grep("ups$", featureNames(msUps))
> meds <- apply(exprs(msUps)[ecoli, ], 2, median, na.rm = TRUE)
> exprs(msUps) <- t(apply(exprs(msUps), 1, "/", meds))

```

This same procedure could be applied with a set of constant spikes to estimate absolute protein quantities.

3.4 Statistical analysis of differentially expressed proteins

The UPS1 spiked-in protein mix is composed of 48 proteins, 47 of which have been observed and quantified in our final data object. In this section, we will illustrate how to analyse the 705 proteins to extract those that show differences between the two groups and show that these candidates represent the UPS1 spikes.

The R environment and many of the available packages allow extremely powerful statistical analysis. In this document, we will apply a standard t-test on \log_2 transformed data for convenience, to calculate p-value for individual proteins (pv variable below). For best performance with small number of samples and more complex de-

signs, we recommend the Bioconductor `limma` package (Smyth, 2005)¹¹. We then perform multiple comparison adjustment using the `qvalue` from the `qvalue` package, that implements the method from (Storey and Tibshirani, 2003) (`qv` variable below). The `multtest` package provides several other p-value adjustment methods. We will also compute \log_2 fold-changes and illustrate the results on a volcano plot (figure 7). Figure 8 illustrates the UPS1 proteins and samples on a classical heatmap.

```
> ## use log2 data for t-test
> exprs(msUps) <- log2(exprs(msUps))
> ## apply a t-test and extract the p-value
> pv <- apply(exprs(msUps), 1 ,
+             function(x) t.test(x[1:3], x[4:6])$p.value)
> ## calculate q-values
> library(qvalue)
> qv <- qvalue(pv)$qvalues
> ## calculate log2 fold-changes
> lfc <- apply(exprs(msUps), 1 ,
+             function(x) mean(x[1:3], na.rm=TRUE) - mean(x[4:6], na.rm=TRUE))
> ## create a summary table
> res <- data.frame(cbind(exprs(msUps), pv, qv, lfc))
> ## reorder based on q-values
> res <- res[order(res$qv), ]
> head(round(res, 3))
```

	ups25a	ups25b	ups25c	ups50a	ups50b	ups50c	pv	qv	lfc
P01112ups	-0.053	-0.015	-0.001	1.072	1.118	1.080	0	0.000	-1.113
P00918ups	-0.247	-0.201	-0.214	0.616	0.667	0.674	0	0.001	-0.873
P01008ups	0.112	0.106	0.178	1.075	1.132	1.090	0	0.001	-0.967
Q06830ups	0.174	0.118	0.156	1.073	1.095	1.100	0	0.002	-0.940
P10145ups	-0.323	-0.332	-0.274	0.689	0.764	0.788	0	0.003	-1.057
P02788ups	0.564	0.647	0.601	1.494	1.532	1.532	0	0.003	-0.915

In the above example, quantitation values and statistics data are saved in a summary dataframe (`res`), that can be exported to a comma-separated spreadsheet with

```
> write.csv(res, file = "upsResults.csv")
```

A total 29 proteins show a statistically different pattern between the two groups, at a false discovery rate of 10%. Table 2 summarises the results for all UPS1 proteins.

¹¹<http://www.bioconductor.org/packages/release/bioc/html/limma.html>

```

> plot(res$lfc, -log10(res$qv),
+      col = ifelse(grepl("ups$", rownames(res)),
+                    "#4582B3AA",
+                    "#A1A1A180"),
+      pch = 19,
+      xlab = expression(log[2]~fold-change),
+      ylab = expression(-log[10]~q-value))
> grid()
> abline(v = -1, lty = "dotted")
> abline(h = -log10(0.1), lty = "dotted")
> legend("topright", c("UPS", "E. coli"),
+      col = c("#4582B3AA", "#A1A1A1AA"),
+      pch = 19, bty = "n")

```




Figure 7: On the volcano plot, each protein is represented by a dot and positioned according to its \log_2 fold-change along the x axis and $-\log_{10}$ of its q-value along the y axis. Proteins with large fold-changes are positioned on the sides of the plot, while proteins with low q-values are at the top of the figure. The most promising candidates are this located on the top corners. In our case, the UPS proteins (in blue) have \log_2 fold-changes around -1 (vertical dotted line), as expected. The horizontal dotted line represents a q-value threshold of 0.10.

null device

1

```
> heatmap(exprs(msUps)[grep("ups", featureNames(msUps)), ])
```




Figure 8: A heatmap of all UPS1 proteins present in the final data set.

	ups25a	ups25b	ups25c	ups50a	ups50b	ups50c	pV	qV	lfc
P01112ups	-0.05	-0.01	-0.00	1.07	1.12	1.08	0.00	0.00	-1.11
P00918ups	-0.25	-0.20	-0.21	0.62	0.67	0.67	0.00	0.00	-0.87
P01008ups	0.11	0.11	0.18	1.07	1.13	1.09	0.00	0.00	-0.97
Q06830ups	0.17	0.12	0.16	1.07	1.09	1.10	0.00	0.00	-0.94
P10145ups	-0.32	-0.33	-0.27	0.69	0.76	0.79	0.00	0.00	-1.06
P02788ups	0.56	0.65	0.60	1.49	1.53	1.53	0.00	0.00	-0.92
P02753ups	-1.90	-1.82	-1.91	-1.01	-0.92	-0.88	0.00	0.01	-0.94
P01375ups	0.81	0.93	0.96	1.82	1.76	1.69	0.00	0.01	-0.86
P69905ups	-1.44	-1.56	-1.51	-0.64	-0.58	-0.58	0.00	0.01	-0.91
P00167ups	0.87	0.89	0.97	1.92	1.96	1.94	0.00	0.01	-1.03
P12081ups	-0.09	0.10	-0.02	0.94	1.04	1.05	0.00	0.01	-1.01
P00709ups	-0.19	-0.31	-0.32	0.42	0.51	0.51	0.00	0.01	-0.75
O00762ups	0.43	0.27	0.26	1.09	1.21	1.21	0.00	0.01	-0.85
P05413ups	-0.17	-0.40	-0.28	0.58	0.68	0.69	0.00	0.03	-0.93
P00441ups	-0.23	-0.41	-0.39	0.29	0.41	0.41	0.00	0.03	-0.71
P04040ups	-0.07	0.14	0.21	1.09	1.25	1.24	0.00	0.03	-1.10
P02787ups	0.00	0.15	0.07	1.50	1.53	1.24	0.00	0.03	-1.35
P10636-8ups	0.73	0.53	0.56	1.49	1.50	1.58	0.00	0.03	-0.92
P06396ups	0.23	0.30	0.04	1.18	1.27	1.30	0.00	0.04	-1.06
P16083ups	-0.18	-0.41	-0.28	1.17	1.15	1.17	0.00	0.04	-1.45
P02768ups	0.55	0.34	0.40	1.35	1.43	1.41	0.00	0.04	-0.97
P01127ups	0.33	0.14	0.22	1.18	1.18	1.21	0.00	0.04	-0.96
P08758ups	0.27	0.09	0.08	1.14	1.18	1.16	0.00	0.05	-1.01
P00915ups	0.06	-0.18	0.06	1.10	1.14	1.16	0.00	0.06	-1.15
P15559ups	0.12	-0.09	-0.08	0.88	0.93	0.88	0.00	0.06	-0.91
P55957ups	-1.08	-1.46	-1.33	-0.35	-0.39	-0.18	0.00	0.06	-0.98
P62988ups	0.51	0.27	0.37	1.29	1.29	1.24	0.00	0.06	-0.89
P01031ups	-0.41	-0.65	-0.64	0.63	0.64	0.63	0.00	0.07	-1.20
P61626ups	-0.10	-0.36	-0.32	0.62	0.68	0.67	0.01	0.09	-0.92
P51965ups	-0.89	-1.18	-1.30	0.02	-0.04	-0.01	0.01	0.14	-1.11
P01344ups	-0.04	-0.40	-0.06	0.57	0.72	0.64	0.01	0.15	-0.81
P01579ups	-0.95	-0.72	-0.66	-0.26	-0.25	-0.17	0.02	0.20	-0.55
P41159ups	0.28	-0.21	-0.24	0.78	0.86	1.03	0.02	0.21	-0.94
P62937ups	-1.38	-0.69	-1.12	0.31	0.38	0.26	0.02	0.21	-1.38
P68871ups	-0.21	-0.44	-0.59	0.37	0.36	0.36	0.02	0.22	-0.78
P08263ups	-1.11	-0.64	-1.52	0.19	0.25	0.28	0.03	0.30	-1.33
P99999ups	-1.20	-1.99	-1.95	-0.90	-0.19	-0.84	0.04	0.32	-1.07
P10599ups	-0.88	-1.13	0.17	1.39	0.90	0.76	0.04	0.32	-1.63
P02144ups	-0.96	-0.12	-0.07	0.99	1.06	1.00	0.04	0.33	-1.40
P01133ups	-0.80	0.01	-0.38	0.53	0.56	0.50	0.06	0.43	-0.92
P02741ups	-0.27	-1.17	-1.09	0.31	0.32	0.30	0.06	0.43	-1.15
P61769ups	-0.66	-0.15	-0.16	0.72	0.38	-0.03	0.07	0.47	-0.68
P63165ups	-0.98	0.08	0.22	1.07	1.12	1.11	0.07	0.47	-1.33
O76070ups	-0.46	0.25	0.33	0.88	0.80	0.94	0.08	0.48	-0.83
P06732ups	1.50	0.54	0.56	1.32	1.36	1.38	0.27	0.50	-0.48
P63279ups	-1.86	-3.08	-1.58	-0.64	-0.68	-0.69	0.08	0.50	-1.50
P09211ups	1.73	-1.86	-1.84	-0.76	-0.33	-0.65	0.95	0.59	-0.08

Table 2: UPS1 proteins.

4 Session information

All software and respective versions used to produce this document are listed below.

- R version 3.0.0 (2013-04-03), x86_64-apple-darwin10.8.0
- Locale: C
- Base packages: base, datasets, grDevices, graphics, methods, parallel, stats, utils
- Other packages: Biobase 2.20.0, BiocGenerics 0.6.0, MSnbase 1.8.0, Rcpp 0.10.3, codetools 0.2-8, ggplot2 0.9.3.1, knitr 1.1.11, mzR 1.6.0, qvalue 1.34.0, synapter 1.2.0, synapterdata 0.99.4, xtable 1.7-1
- Loaded via a namespace (and not attached): BiocInstaller 1.10.0, IRanges 1.18.0, MASS 7.3-26, RColorBrewer 1.0-5, affy 1.38.0, affyio 1.28.0, colorspace 1.2-1, dichromat 2.0-0, digest 0.6.3, evaluate 0.4.3, formatR 0.7, grid 3.0.0, gtable 0.1.2, hwriter 1.3, impute 1.34.0, labeling 0.1, lattice 0.20-15, limma 3.16.1, multtest 2.16.0, munsell 0.4, plyr 1.8, preprocessCore 1.22.0, proto 0.3-10, reshape2 1.2.2, scales 0.2.3, splines 3.0.0, stats4 3.0.0, stringr 0.6.2, survival 2.37-4, tcltk 3.0.0, tcltk2 1.2-5, tools 3.0.0, vsn 3.28.0, zlibbioc 1.6.0

References

- Nicholas James Bond, Pavel Vyacheslavovich Shliaha, Kathryn S. Lilley, and Laurent Gatto. Improving qualitative and quantitative performance for ms^e-based label free proteomics. *Journal of Proteome Research*, in press, 2013. doi: 10.1021/pr300776t. URL <http://pubs.acs.org/doi/abs/10.1021/pr300776t>.
- Laurent Gatto and Kathryn S Lilley. MSnbase – an R/Bioconductor package for isobaric tagged mass spectrometry data visualization, processing and quantitation. *Bioinformatics*, 28(2):288–9, Jan 2012. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btr645. URL <http://bioinformatics.oxfordjournals.org/content/28/2/288>.
- Robert C Gentleman, Vincent J Carey, Douglas M Bates, Ben Bolstad, Marcel Detting, Sandrine Dudoit, Byron Ellis, Laurent Gautier, Yongchao Ge, Jeff Gentry, Kurt Hornik, Torsten Hothorn, Wolfgang Huber, Stefano Iacus, Rafael Irizarry, Friedrich Leisch, Cheng Li, Martin Maechler, Anthony J Rossini, Gunther Sawitzki, Colin Smith, Gordon Smyth, Luke Tierney, Jean Y H Yang, and Jianhua Zhang. Bioconductor: open software development for computational biology and

- bioinformatics. *Genome Biol*, 5(10):R80, 2004. doi: 10.1186/gb-2004-5-10-r80. URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/gb-2004-5-10-r80>.
- G Z Li, J P Vissers, J C Silva, D Golick, M V Gorenstein, and S J Geromanos. Database searching and accounting of multiplexed precursor and product ion spectra from the data independent analysis of simple and complex peptide mixtures. *Proteomics*, 9(6):1696–719, Mar 2009. doi: 10.1002/pmic.200800564.
- R Core Team. *R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing*. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria, 2012. URL <http://www.R-project.org/>. ISBN 3-900051-07-0.
- Pavel Vyacheslavovich Shliaha, Nicholas James Bond, Laurent Gatto, and Kathryn S. Lilley. The effects of travelling wave ion mobility separation on data independent acquisition in proteomics studies. *Journal of Proteome Research*, in press, 2013. doi: 10.1021/pr300775k. URL <http://pubs.acs.org/doi/abs/10.1021/pr300775k>.
- Gordon K. Smyth. Limma: linear models for microarray data. In R. Gentleman, V. Carey, S. Dudoit, R. Irizarry, and W. Huber, editors, *Bioinformatics and Computational Biology Solutions using R and Bioconductor*, pages 397–420. Springer, New York, 2005.
- John D. Storey and Robert Tibshirani. Statistical significance for genomewide studies. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 100(16):9440–9445, 2003. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1530509100. URL <http://www.pnas.org/content/100/16/9440.abstract>.