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#### Abstract

In this vignette, we demonstrate the gage package for gene set or pathway analysis. The gage package implement the GAGE method. GAGE is generally applicable independent of microarray data attributes including sample sizes, experimental designs, microarray platforms, and other types of heterogeneity, and consistently achieves superior performance over other frequently used methods. We introduce functions and data for routine and advanced gene set analysis, as well as results presentation and interpretation. We also cover package installation, data preparation and common application errors.


## 1 Introduction

Gene set analysis (GSA) is a powerful strategy to infer functional and mechanistic changes from high through microarray data. GSA focuses on sets of related genes and has established major advantages over per-gene based different expression analyses, including greater robustness, sensitivity and biological relevance. However, classical GSA methods only have limited usage to a small number of microarray studies as they cannot handle datasets of different sample sizes, experimental designs and other types of heterogeneity (Luo et al., 2009). To address these limitations, we present a new GSA method called Generally Applicable Gene-set Enrichment (GAGE) (Luo et al., 2009). We have validated GAGE method extensively against the most widely used GSA methods in both applicability and performance (Luo et al., 2009). In this manual, we focus on the implementation and usage of the R package, gage.

In gage package, we provide a series of functions for basic GAGE analysis, result processing and presentation. We have also built pipeline routines for of multiple GAGE analysis on different comparisons or samples, the comparison of parallel analysis results, and even the combined analysis of heterogeneous data from different sources/studies.

This package also supplies an example microarray dataset. In GAGE paper (Luo et al., 2009) and the old versions of gage package, we used a BMP6 microarray experiment as the demo data. Here we choose to use another published microarray dataset from GEO,

GSE16873 (Emery et al. 2009), as our primary demo data, as to show more and advanced functionality and applications of GAGE with this update package. GSE16873 is a breast cancer study (Emery et al., 2009), which covers twelve patient cases, each with HN (histologically normal), ADH (ductal hyperplasia), and DCIS (ductal carcinoma in situ) RMA samples. Hence, there are $12^{*} 3=36$ microarray hybridizations or samples interesting to us plus 4 others less interesting in this full dataset. Due to the size limit of this package, we split this GSE16873 into two halves, each including 6 patients with their HN and DCIS but not ADH tissue types. Raw data for these two halves were processed using two different methods, FARMS (Hochreiter et al., 2006) and RMA (Irizarry et al., 2003), respectively to generate the non-biological data heterogeneity. This gage package, we only include the first half dataset for 6 patients (processed using FARMS). The second half dataset plus the full dataset (including all HN, ADH and DCIS samples of all 12 patients, processed together using FARMS) and the original BMP6 dataset is supplied with a data package, gageData. Most of our demo analyses will be done on the first half dataset, except for the advanced analysis where we use both halves datasets with all 12 patients. The gage package also includes useful human gene set data derived from KEGG pathway and Gene Ontology databases. We also supply extra gene set data for other species including mouse, rat and yeast in the data package, gageData, available from the bioconductor website. In addition, the users may derive other own gene sets using tools like GSEABase package.

The manual is written by assuming the user has minimal R/Bioconductor knowledge. Some descriptions and code chunks cover very basic usage of R . The more experienced users may simply omit these parts.

## 2 Installation

Assume R has been correctly installed and accessible under current directory. Otherwise, please contact your system admin or follow the instructions on $R$ website.

Start R: from Linux/Unix command line, type R (Enter); for Mac or Windows GUI, double click the R application icon to enter R console.

End R: type in $q()$ when you are finished with the analysis using $R$, but not now. Two options:
Either install with Bioconductor installation script biocLite directly:

```
> source("http://bioconductor.org/biocLite.R")
> biocLite(c("gage","gageData"))
```

Or install without using Bioconductor, but require packages graph and multtest to be installed too: Download gage and gageData packages (make sure with proper version number and zip format) and save to /your/local/directory/.

```
> install.packages(c("/your/local/directory/gage_2.0.0.tar.gz",
+ "/your/local/directory/gageData_1.0.0.tar.gz"),
```

```
+ repos = NULL, type = "source")
```


## 3 Get Started

Under R, first we load the gage package:

```
> library(gage)
```

To see a brief overview of the package:

```
> library(help=gage)
```

To get help on any function (say the main function, gage), use the help command in either one of the following two forms:

```
> help(gage)
> ?gage
```


## 4 Basic Analysis

GAGE (Luo et al., 2009) is a widely applicable method for gene set or pathway analysis. In such analysis, we check for coordinated differential expression over gene sets instead of changes of individual genes. Gene sets are pre-defined groups of genes, which are functionally related. Commonly used gene sets include those derived from KEGG pathways, Gene Ontology terms, gene groups that share some other functional annotations, including common transcriptional regulators (like transcription factors, small interfering RNA's or siRNA etc), genomic locations etc. Consistent perturbations over such gene sets frequently suggest mechanistic changes. GSA is much more robust and sensitive than individual gene analysis (Luo et al., 2009), especially considering the functional redundancy of individual genes and the noise level of high throughput assay data. GAGE method implemented in this package makes GSA feasible to all microarray studies, irrespecitve of the sample size, experiment design, array platform etc. Let's start with the most basic gene set analysis.

We load and look at the demo microarray data first.

```
> data(gse16873)
> cn=colnames(gse16873)
> hn=grep('HN',cn, ignore.case =T)
> adh=grep('ADH',cn, ignore.case =T)
> dcis=grep('DCIS',cn, ignore.case =T)
> print(hn)
```

[1] $1 \begin{array}{llllll}1 & 3 & 5 & 7 & 9 & 11\end{array}$

```
> print(dcis)
```

[1] $2 \begin{array}{llllll} & 4 & 6 & 8 & 10 & 12\end{array}$

Check to make sure your gene sets and your expression data are using the same ID system (Entrez Gene ID, Gene symbol, or probe set ID etc). Entrez Gene IDs are integer numbers, Gene symbols are characters, and probe set IDs (Affymetrix GeneChip) are characters with _at suffix.

```
> data(kegg.gs)
> data(go.gs)
> lapply(kegg.gs[1:3],head)
$`hsa00010 Glycolysis / Gluconeogenesis`
[1] "10327" "124" "125" "126" "127" "128"
$`hsa00020 Citrate cycle (TCA cycle)`
[1] "1431" "1737" "1738" "1743" "2271" "283398"
$`hsa00030 Pentose phosphate pathway`
[1] "2203" "221823" "226" "229" "22934" "230"
> head(rownames(gse16873))
```

```
[1] "10000" "10001" "10002" "10003" "100048912" "10004"
```

```
[1] "10000" "10001" "10002" "10003" "100048912" "10004"
```

We normally do GAGE analysis using gene sets derived from on KEGG pathways or GO term groups. Here we use the human gene set data coming with this package with the human microarray dataset GSE16873. Note that the go.gs derived from human GO database only includes 1000 gene sets due to size limit. For full go.gs or gene sets data for other species, we may always use the gageData package or compile our own gene set data.

Here we look at the expression changes towards one direction (either up- or downregulation) in the gene sets. The results of such 1-directional analysis is a list of two matrices, corresponding to the two directions. Each result matrix contains multiple columns of test statistics and $\mathrm{p}-/ \mathrm{q}$-values for all tested gene sets. Among them, p.val is the global p-value and q.val is the corresponding FDR q-value. Gene sets are ranked by significance. Type ?gage for more information.

```
> gse16873.kegg.p <- gage(gse16873, gsets = kegg.gs,
+ ref = hn, samp = dcis)
> #go.gs here only the first }1000\mathrm{ entries as a fast example.
> gse16873.go.p <- gage(gse16873, gsets = go.gs,
+ ref = hn, samp = dcis)
> str(gse16873.kegg.p, strict.width='wrap')
```

```
List of 3
$ greater: num [1:205, 1:11] 0.00191 0.00477 0.00986 0.01228 0.048 ...
..- attr(*, "dimnames")=List of 2
.. ..$ : chr [1:205] "hsa00190 Oxidative phosphorylation" "hsa03050 Proteasome"
        "hsa05012 Parkinson's disease" "hsa04612 Antigen processing and
        presentation" ...
.. ..$ : chr [1:11] "p.geomean" "stat.mean" "p.val" "q.val" ...
$ less : num [1:205, 1:11] 0.00492 0.0062 0.01623 0.06919 0.0439 ...
..- attr(*, "dimnames")=List of 2
.. ..$ : chr [1:205] "hsa03010 Ribosome" "hsa04510 Focal adhesion" "hsa04060
        Cytokine-cytokine receptor interaction" "hsa04020 Calcium signaling pathway"
        ...
.. ..$ : chr [1:11] "p.geomean" "stat.mean" "p.val" "q.val" ...
$ stats : num [1:205, 1:7] 2.78 2.63 2.2 1.82 1.65 ...
..- attr(*, "dimnames")=List of 2
.. ..$ : chr [1:205] "hsa00190 Oxidative phosphorylation" "hsa03050 Proteasome"
        "hsa05012 Parkinson's disease" "hsa04612 Antigen processing and
        presentation" ...
.. ..$ : chr [1:7] "stat.mean" "DCIS_1" "DCIS_2" "DCIS_3" ...
> head(gse16873.kegg.p$greater[, 1:5], 4)
\begin{tabular}{lrrrr} 
& p.geomean & stat.mean & p.val \\
hsa00190 & Oxidative phosphorylation & 0.001905222 & 2.776650 & \(1.083244 \mathrm{e}-11\) \\
hsa03050 Proteasome & 0.004770830 & 2.631099 & \(2.108534 \mathrm{e}-10\) \\
hsa05012 & Parkinson's disease & 0.009863119 & 2.203538 & \(4.787515 \mathrm{e}-08\) \\
hsa04612 & Antigen processing and presentation & 0.012278708 & 1.816170 & \(7.171015 \mathrm{e}-06\) \\
& q.val & set.size
\end{tabular}
> head(gse16873.kegg.p$less[, 1:5], 4)
\begin{tabular}{lrl} 
& p.geomean stat.mean \\
hsa03010 Ribosome & \(0.004917526-2.366715\) \\
hsa04510 Focal adhesion & \(0.006197834-2.058423\) \\
hsa04060 Cytokine-cytokine receptor interaction \(0.016234602-1.616012\) \\
hsa04020 Calcium signaling pathway & \(0.069188819-1.464298\) \\
& p.val & q.val \\
hsa03010 Ribosome & \(2.324665 e-08\) & \(3.975177 e-06\)
\end{tabular}
```



As described in GAGE paper (Luo et al., 2009), it is worthy to run gage with same. dir=F option on KEGG pathways too to capture pathways perturbed towards both directions simultaneously. Note we normally do not use same. dir=F option on GO groups, which are mostly gene sets coregulated towards the same direction.

```
> gse16873.kegg.2d.p <- gage(gse16873, gsets = kegg.gs,
+ ref = hn, samp = dcis, same.dir = F)
> head(gse16873.kegg.2d.p$greater[,1:5], 4)
```

|  | p.geomean | stat.mean | p.val |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| hsa01430 Cell junctions | 0.002003813 | 2.907219 | $1.070884 \mathrm{e}-12$ |
| hsa04512 ECM-receptor interaction | 0.002049143 | 2.907748 | $1.398120 \mathrm{e}-12$ |
| hsa04510 Focal adhesion | 0.002085338 | 2.862576 | $1.692027 \mathrm{e}-12$ |
| hsa05215 Prostate cancer | 0.028492673 | 1.905361 | $1.806796 \mathrm{e}-06$ |
|  | q.val | set.size |  |
| hsa01430 Cell junctions | $9.644556 \mathrm{e}-11$ | 109 |  |
| hsa04512 ECM-receptor interaction | $9.644556 \mathrm{e}-11$ | 76 |  |
| hsa04510 Focal adhesion | $9.644556 \mathrm{e}-11$ | 189 |  |
| hsa05215 Prostate cancer | $7.724051 \mathrm{e}-05$ | 86 |  |

```
> head(gse16873.kegg.2d.p$stats[,1:5], 4)
```

                    stat.mean DCIS_1 DCIS_2 DCIS_3 DCIS_4
    hsa01430 Cell junctions $2.9072193 .304433 \quad 3.1997292 .7105672 .548387$
hsa04512 ECM-receptor interaction 2.9077482 .8555563 .2411633 .2632352 .297844
hsa04510 Focal adhesion 2.8625762 .4353733 .3613102 .8970712 .308013
hsa05215 Prostate cancer $\quad 1.9053611 .7504712 .3523551 .7358061 .602920$

We may also do PAGE analysis (Kim and Volsky, 2005) with or without different combinations of GAGE style options. The key difference is 1 ) to use z-test instead of two-sample t-test and 2) a group-on-group comparison scheme (by setting arguement compare="as.group") instead of default one-on-one scheme (compare="paired") as in GAGE. Here we only used z-test option to compare the net effect of using differet gene set tests, as detailed in Luo et al. (2009).

```
> gse16873.kegg.page.p <- gage(gse16873, gsets = kegg.gs,
+ ref = hn, samp = dcis, saaTest = gs.zTest)
> head(gse16873.kegg.page.p$greater[, 1:5], 4)
```

|  | p.geomean stat.mean |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| hsa00190 | Oxidative phosphorylation | 0.0000383855 | 3.699040 |
| hsa03050 Proteasome | 0.0002562528 | 3.384068 |  |
| hsa05012 Parkinson's disease | 0.0006759516 | 2.958540 |  |
| hsa01040 Biosynthesis of unsaturated fatty acids | 0.0016073773 | 2.815736 |  |
|  | p.val | q.val |  |
| hsa00190 Oxidative phosphorylation | $6.477231 \mathrm{e}-20$ | $1.107606 \mathrm{e}-17$ |  |
| hsa03050 Proteasome | $5.698703 \mathrm{e}-17$ | $4.872391 \mathrm{e}-15$ |  |
| hsa05012 Parkinson's disease | $2.131878 \mathrm{e}-13$ | $1.215170 \mathrm{e}-11$ |  |
| hsa01040 Biosynthesis of unsaturated fatty |  |  |  |
|  |  | acids | $2.653452 \mathrm{e}-12$ |
|  | $1.134351 \mathrm{e}-10$ |  |  |

We get much smaller p-/q-values with PAGE than with GAGE. As described in GAGE paper (Luo et al., 2009), many significant calls made by PAGE are likely false positives.

With gage, we have much more options to tweak than those related to PAGE for more customized GAGE analysis. Here we show a few other alternative ways of doing GAGE analysis by setting other arguements. Use t-test statistics instead of fold change as per gene score:

```
> gse16873.kegg.t.p <- gage(gse16873, gsets = kegg.gs,
+ ref = hn, samp = dcis, use.fold = F)
```

If you are very concerned about the normality of expression level changes or the gene set sizes are mostly smaller than 10 , we may do the non-parametric Mann Whitney U tests (rank test without distribution assumption) instead of the parametric two-sample t-tests on gene sets:

```
> gse16873.kegg.rk.p <- gage(gse16873, gsets = kegg.gs,
+ ref = hn, samp = dcis, rank.test = T)
```

Do the non-parametric Kolmogorov-Smirnov tests (like rank test, used in GSEA) instead of the parametric two-sample t-tests on gene sets:

```
> gse16873.kegg.ks.p <- gage(gse16873, gsets = kegg.gs,
+ ref = hn, samp = dcis, saaTest = gs.KSTest)
```

Frequently, the samples are not one-on-one paired in the experiment design. In such cases, we take the samples as unpaired:

```
> gse16873.kegg.unpaired.p <- gage(gse16873, gsets = kegg.gs,
+ ref = hn, samp = dcis, compare = "unpaired")
```

Since version 2.2.0, gage package does more robust p-value summarization using Stouffer's method through argument use.stouffer=TRUE. The original p-value summarization, i.e. negative $\log$ sum following a Gamma distribution as the Null hypothesis, may produce less stable global p-values for large or heterogenous datasets. In other words, the global p-value could be heavily affected by a small subset of extremely small individual p-values from pair-wise comparisons. Such sensitive global p-value leads to the "dual signficance" phenomenon. Dual-signficant means a gene set is called significant simultaneously in both 1-direction tests (up- and down-regulated). While not desirable in other cases, "dual signficance" could be informative in revealing the sub-types or sub-classes in big clinical or disease studies. If we preferred the original Gamma distribution based p-value summarization, we only need to set use.stouffer=FALSE:

```
> gse16873.kegg.gamma.p <- gage(gse16873, gsets = kegg.gs,
+ ref = hn, samp = dcis, use.stouffer=FALSE)
```

Sometimes we have expression data where genes are labelled by symbols (or other IDs). Let's make such a dataset gse16873. sym from gse16873, which is originally lable by Entrez Gene IDs.

```
> head(rownames(gse16873))
```

[1] "10000" "10001" "10002" "10003" "100048912" "10004"

```
> gse16873.sym<-gse16873
> data(egSymb)
> rownames(gse16873.sym)<-eg2sym(rownames(gse16873.sym))
> head(rownames(gse16873.sym))
```

```
[1] "AKT3" "MED6" "NR2E3" "NAALAD2" "CDKN2BAS" "NAALADL1"
```

```
[1] "AKT3" "MED6" "NR2E3" "NAALAD2" "CDKN2BAS" "NAALADL1"
```

If we want to do GAGE analysis, we can convert rownames of gse16873. sym back to Entrez Gene IDs or convert gene set definitions to gene symbols.

```
> kegg.gs.sym<-lapply(kegg.gs, eg2sym)
> lapply(kegg.gs.sym[1:3],head)
$`hsa00010 Glycolysis / Gluconeogenesis`
[1] "AKR1A1" "ADH1A" "ADH1B" "ADH1C" "ADH4" "ADH5"
$`hsa00020 Citrate cycle (TCA cycle)`
[1] "CS" "DLAT" "DLD" "DLST" "FH" "LOC283398"
$`hsa00030 Pentose phosphate pathway`
[1] "FBP1" "PRPS1L1" "ALDOA" "ALDOB" "RPIA" "ALDOC"
> gse16873.kegg.p2 <- gage(gse16873.sym, gsets = kegg.gs.sym,
+ ref = hn, samp = dcis)
```


## 5 Result Presentation and Intepretation

We may output full result tables from the analysis.

```
> write.table(gse16873.kegg.2d.p$greater, file = "gse16873.kegg.2d.p.txt",
+ sep = "\t")
> write.table(rbind(gse16873.kegg.p$greater, gse16873.kegg.p$less),
+ file = "gse16873.kegg.p.txt", sep = "\t")
```

Sort and count signficant gene sets based on q - or p -value cutoffs:

```
> gse16873.kegg.sig<-sigGeneSet(gse16873.kegg.p, outname="gse16873.kegg")
[1] "there are 21 signficantly up-regulated gene sets"
[1] "there are 23 signficantly down-regulated gene sets"
> str(gse16873.kegg.sig, strict.width='wrap')
```

```
List of 3
$ greater: num [1:21, 1:11] 0.00191 0.00477 0.00986 0.01228 0.048 ...
..- attr(*, "dimnames")=List of 2
.. ..$ : chr [1:21] "hsa00190 Oxidative phosphorylation" "hsa03050 Proteasome"
    "hsa05012 Parkinson's disease" "hsa04612 Antigen processing and
    presentation" ...
.. ..$ : chr [1:11] "p.geomean" "stat.mean" "p.val" "q.val" ...
$ less : num [1:23, 1:11] 0.00492 0.0062 0.01623 0.06919 0.0439 ...
..- attr(*, "dimnames")=List of 2
.. ..$ : chr [1:23] "hsa03010 Ribosome" "hsa04510 Focal adhesion" "hsa04060
        Cytokine-cytokine receptor interaction" "hsa04020 Calcium signaling pathway"
        ...
.. ..$ : chr [1:11] "p.geomean" "stat.mean" "p.val" "q.val" ...
$ stats : num [1:44, 1:7] 2.78 2.63 2.2 1.82 1.65 ...
..- attr(*, "dimnames")=List of 2
.. ..$ : chr [1:44] "hsa00190 Oxidative phosphorylation" "hsa03050 Proteasome"
        "hsa05012 Parkinson's disease" "hsa04612 Antigen processing and
        presentation" ...
.. ..$ : chr [1:7] "stat.mean" "DCIS_1" "DCIS_2" "DCIS_3" ...
> gse16873.kegg.2d.sig<-sigGeneSet(gse16873.kegg.2d.p, outname="gse16873.kegg")
[1] "there are 35 signficantly two-direction perturbed gene sets"
> str(gse16873.kegg.2d.sig, strict.width='wrap')
List of 2
$ greater: num [1:35, 1:11] 0.002 0.00205 0.00209 0.02849 0.04745 ...
..- attr(*, "dimnames")=List of 2
.. ..$ : chr [1:35] "hsa01430 Cell junctions" "hsa04512 ECM-receptor
    interaction" "hsa04510 Focal adhesion" "hsa05215 Prostate cancer" ...
.. ..$ : chr [1:11] "p.geomean" "stat.mean" "p.val" "q.val" ...
$ stats : num [1:35, 1:7] 2.91 2.91 2.86 1.91 1.57 ...
..- attr(*, "dimnames")=List of 2
.. ..$ : chr [1:35] "hsa01430 Cell junctions" "hsa04512 ECM-receptor
        interaction" "hsa04510 Focal adhesion" "hsa05215 Prostate cancer" ...
. ..$ : chr [1:7] "stat.mean" "DCIS_1" "DCIS_2" "DCIS_3" ...
> write.table(gse16873.kegg.2d.sig$greater, file = "gse16873.kegg.2d.sig.txt",
+ sep = "\t")
> write.table(rbind(gse16873.kegg.sig$greater, gse16873.kegg.sig$less),
+ file = "gse16873.kegg.sig.txt", sep = "\t")
```
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Figure 1: Example heatmaps generated with sigGeneSet function as to show whole gene set perturbations. Upper panel: signficant KEGG pathways in 1-directional (either up or down) test; lower panel: signficant KEGG pathways in 2-directional test. Only gene set test statistics are shown, heatmaps for $-\log 10(p-v a l u e)$ look similar.

Heatmaps in Figure 1 visualize whole gene set perturbations, i.e. the gene set test statistics (or p-values) in pseudo-color.

There are frequently multiple significant gene sets that share multiple member genes or represent the same regulatory mechanism. Such redundancy in signficant gene set could be misleading too. A pathway or functional group itself is not relevant, but may be called signficant because it share multiple perturbed genes with one really significant gene set. We derive the non-redundant signficant gene set lists, with result output as tab-delimited text files automatically below. Here, function esset.grp calls redundant gene sets to be those overlap heavily in their core genes. Core genes are those member genes that really contribute to the signficance of the gene set in GAGE analysis. Arguement pc is the cutoff p-value for the overlap between gene sets, default to 10e-10, may need trial-and-error to find the optimal value in minor cases. Note that we use small pc because redundant gene sets are not just signficant in overlap, but are HIGHLY significant so.

```
> gse16873.kegg.esg.up <- esset.grp(gse16873.kegg.p$greater,
+ gse16873, gsets = kegg.gs, ref = hn, samp = dcis,
+ test4up = T, output = T, outname = "gse16873.kegg.up", make.plot = F)
> gse16873.kegg.esg.dn <- esset.grp(gse16873.kegg.p$less,
+ gse16873, gsets = kegg.gs, ref = hn, samp = dcis,
+ test4up = F, output = T, outname = "gse16873.kegg.dn", make.plot = F)
names(gse16873.kegg.esg.up)
[1] "essentialSets" "setGroups" "allSets"
[4] "connectedComponent" "overlapCounts" "overlapPvals"
[7] "coreGeneSets"
> head(gse16873.kegg.esg.up$essentialSets, 4)
[1] "hsa00190 Oxidative phosphorylation"
[2] "hsa03050 Proteasome"
[3] "hsa04612 Antigen processing and presentation"
[4] "hsa00510 N-Glycan biosynthesis"
```

> head(gse16873.kegg.esg.up\$setGroups, 4)
[[1]]
[1] "hsa00190 Oxidative phosphorylation" "hsa05012 Parkinson's disease"
[3] "hsa05010 Alzheimer's disease"
[ [2] ]
[1] "hsa03050 Proteasome"

## [ [3]]

[1] "hsa04612 Antigen processing and presentation"

## [ [4]] <br> [1] "hsa00510 N-Glycan biosynthesis"

> head(gse16873.kegg.esg.up\$coreGeneSets, 4)

| \$`hsa00190 Oxidative phosphorylation` |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| [1] "1345" | "4720" | "4710" | "51382" | "4709" | "51606" | "27089" | "533" | "29796" |
| [10] "9377" | "4711" | "528" | "514" | "10975" | "10312" | "8992" | "518" | "4696" |
| [19] "1537" | "1340" | "4708" | 521" | "537' | "4694" | 4701 | 470 | 509 |

\$`hsa03050 Proteasome`

| [1] "5691" | "10213" "5684" |
| ---: | :--- |
| [10] " $9861 "$ | "5705" " $5720 "$ |
| [19] "5707" |  |



```
$`hsa04612 Antigen processing and presentation`
    [1] "2923" "10437" "3312" "811" "1508" "3309" "3105" "3117" "3308"
[10] "821" "6890" "1520" "3320" "1514" "5720" "3122" "3106"
```

We may extract the gene expression data for top gene sets, output and visualize these expression data for further intepretation. Although we can check expression data in each top gene set one by one, here we work on the top 3 up-regulated KEGG pathways in a batch. The key functions we use here are, essGene (for extract genes with substiantial or abovebackground expression changes in gene sets) and geneData (for output and visualization of expression data in gene sets).

```
> rownames(gse16873.kegg.p$greater) [1:3]
```

[1] "hsa00190 Oxidative phosphorylation" "hsa03050 Proteasome"
[3] "hsa05012 Parkinson's disease"

```
> gs=unique(unlist(kegg.gs[rownames(gse16873.kegg.p$greater)[1:3]]))
> essData=essGene(gs, gse16873, ref =hn, samp =dcis)
> head(essData, 4)
```

|  | HN_1 | HN_2 | HN_3 | HN_4 | HN_5 | HN_6 | DCIS_1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1345 | 9.109413 | 9.3734541 | 10.988181 | 9.161435 | 11.032016 | 11.231293 | 12.675099 |
| 5691 | 8.283191 | 7.716745 | 7.553621 | 8.381538 | 7.768811 | 7.635745 | 8.840405 |
| 4720 | 9.912376 | 9.1513611 | 10.171523 | 9.646354 | 10.536090 | 10.405265 | 11.069108 |
| 9246 | 8.745924 | 8.606955 | 8.691322 | 8.613415 | 8.731895 | 8.456974 | 9.850955 |
|  | DCIS_2 | DCIS_3 | 3 DCIS_ | 4 DCIS | _5 DCI |  |  |
| 1345 | 11.231271 | 12.547915 | 58.97963 | 13.4702 | 6612.156 | 52 |  |
| 5691 | 8.125168 | 7.782958 | 811.91035 | 27.9561 | 827.713 | 826 |  |
| 4720 | 9.357210 | 10.640057 | 711.616225 | 510.3724 | 5810.484 | 68 |  |
| 9246 | 8.981530 | 8.849894 | 49.51101 | 89.2295 | 278.642 | 533 |  |
| > ref | $1=1: 6$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| > samp | p1=7:12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| > for | (gs in r | rownames (gs | se16873.ke | $g g \cdot p \$ g r e$ | ter) [1:3] |  |  |
| $+$ | outname | = gsub(" l |  | , substr | gs, 10, 100 | 00)) |  |
| + | geneData | $($ genes $=k$ | kegg.gs[[g | s]], expr | $s$ = essDa | a, ref = | ef1, |
|  | samp | = samp1, | outname = | outname, | $t x t=T$, | heatmap |  |
| + | Colv | = F, Rowv | $v=F$, den | drogram $=$ | "none", | imit $=3$, | scatterpl |
| + \} |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Figure 2 shows example heatmap and scatter plots generated by the code chunk above.

## 6 Advanced Analysis

We frequently need to do GAGE analysis repetitively on mulitple comparisons (with different samples vs references) in a study, or even with different analysis options (paired or unpaired samples, use or not use rank test etc). We can carry these different analyses with different sub-datasets all at once using a composite funciton, gagePipe. Different from gage, gagePipe accepts lists of reference/sample column numbers, with matching lists/vectors of other arguements. Function gagePipe runs multiple rounds of GAGE in a batch without interference, and outputs signficant gene set lists in text format and save the results in .RData format.

```
> #introduce another half dataset
> library(gageData)
> data(gse16873.2)
> cn2=colnames(gse16873.2)
> hn2=grep('HN',cn2, ignore.case =T)
> dcis2=grep('DCIS',cn2, ignore.case =T)
> #batch GAGE analysis on the combined dataset
> gse16873=cbind(gse16873, gse16873.2)
```
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Figure 2: Example heatmap and scatter plot generated with geneData function to show the gene expresion perturbations in specified gene set(s). Only HN (control) and DCIS (experiment) data from the first two patients are plotted in the scatter plot.


Figure 3: An example venn diagram generated with gageComp function. Compared are KEGG pathway results for the two half datasets when gagePipe was applied above.

```
> dataname='gse16873' #output data prefix
> sampnames=c('dcis.1', 'dcis.2')
> refList=list(hn, hn2+12)
> sampList=list(dcis, dcis2+12)
> gagePipe(gse16873, gsname = "kegg.gs", dataname = "gse16873",
+ sampnames = sampnames, ref.list = refList, samp.list = sampList,
+ comp.list = "paired")
```

We may further loaded the .RData results, and do more analysis. For instance, we may compare the GAGE analysis results from the differen comparisons or different subdatasets we have worked on. Here, the main function to use is gageComp. Comparison rsults between multiple GAGE analyses will be output as text files and optionally, venn diagram can be plotted in PDF format as shown in Figure 3.

```
> load('gse16873.gage.RData')
> gageComp(sampnames, dataname, gsname = "kegg.gs",
+ do.plot = TRUE)
```

GAGE with single array analysis design also provide a framework for combined analysis accross heterogeneous microarray studies/experiments. The combined dataset of gse16873 and gse16873.2 provids a good example of heterogeneous data. As mentioned above, the two half-datasets were processed using FARMS (Hochreiter et al., 2006) and RMA (Irizarry et al., 2003) methods separately. Therefore, the expression values and distributions are dramatically different between the two halves. Using function heter. gage we can do some


Figure 4: Sample-wise gene expression level distributions for GSE16873 with the two differently processed half datasets.
combined analysis on such heterogeneous dataset(s). heter.gage is similar to gagePipe in that ref.list and samp.list arguements need to be lists of column numbers with matching vector of the compare arguement. Different from gagePipe, heter.gage does one combined GAGE analysis on all data instead of multiple separate analyses on different sub-datasets/comparisons.

Just to have an idea on how heterogeneous these two half datasets are, we may visualize the expression level distributions (Figure 4):

```
> boxplot(data.frame(gse16873))
> gse16873.kegg.heter.p <- heter.gage(gse16873, gsets = kegg.gs,
+ ref.list = refList, samp.list = sampList)
> gse16873.kegg.heter.2d.p <- heter.gage(gse16873, gsets = kegg.gs,
+ ref.list = refList, samp.list = sampList, same.dir = F)
```

We may compare the results from this combined analysis of the combined dataset vs the analysis on the first half dataset above. As expected the top gene sets from this combined
analysis are consistent yet with smaller p-values due to the use of more data.

## 7 Common Errors

- Gene sets and expression data use different ID systems (Entrez Gene ID, Gene symbol or probe set ID etc). To correct, use functions like eg2sym or sym2eg, or if you used customized CDF file based on Entrez Gene to processed the raw data, do: rownames (gse16873) =gsub('_at', '', rownames (gse16873)).
- We use gene set data for a different species than the expression data, e.g. use kegg.mm instead of kegg.gs for human data. When running gage or gagePipe function, we get error message like, Error in if (is.na(spval[i])) tmp[i] <- NA : argument is of length zero.
- Expression data have multiple probesets (as in Affymetrix GeneChip Data) for a single gene, but gene set analysis requires one entry per gene. You may pick up the most differentially expressed probeset for a gene and discard the rest, or process the raw intensity data using customized probe set definition (CDF file), where probes are re-mapped on a gene by gene base. Check the Methods section of GAGE paper (Luo et al., 2009) for details.
- Expression data have genes as columns and samples/chips as rows, i.e. in a transposed form. To correct, do: expdata=t (expdata).
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